

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
13

14 JEFFREY S. PATERSON,) 3:11-cv-00845-HDM-WGC
15 Plaintiff,)
16 vs.) ORDER
17 PATTERSON, et al.,)
18 Defendants.)
19 _____)


20 The complaint in this action was screened and filed on
21 December 15, 2011. Pursuant to the screening order, however, the
22 action was immediately stayed for 90 days in order to allow the
23 parties to attempt to settle. The stay expired on March 14, 2012.

24 On May 14, 2012, the clerk of the court filed a notice of
25 intent to dismiss two unserved defendants for failure to timely
26 serve. However, given the 90-day stay of this case, the notice of
27 intent to dismiss was premature and improvidently entered. The
28 time for service of process in this case began to run once the stay

1 expired. Plaintiff thus has up to and including July 12, 2012, in
2 which to effectuate service on defendants Adolph Stankus and
3 Patterson. Accordingly, the court hereby vacates the notice of
4 intent to dismiss entered on May 14, 2012.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 DATED: This 10th day of July, 2012.

7 

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28